UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,		Case Number 11-cr-00847-LHK
V.	, ,	
SI KEVIN TRUONG	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION
SI KEVIN IROUNG		HEARING
In accordance wit	th the Bail Reform Act. 18 U.S	S.C. § 3142, 3143(a) and Criminal Rule 32.1(a)(b), a detention hearing
was held on January 29, 2018. Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Vicki Young. The United States was		
represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney <u>Jeff Schenk</u> .		
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE		
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted		
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a		
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,		
whichever is later.		
Whichever is later.	rebuttable presumption that r	to condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
Course the margan and the community		
There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant		
		dictilient) (the racis re-
has committed an offense	for which a maximum term of	f imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
Y \	801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or §	OSSO at sea. OR
HUC 2	801 et seq., § 931 et seq., or §	of a firearm during the commission of a felony.
B	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). use	on condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
Appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. / X / No presumption applies but defendant has burden of offering clear and convincing evidence that he is not a flight		
risk or a danger to the community. / X / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to meet his burden, and he therefore will be ordered		
detained. / / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .		
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to result the approach process, and a few approach of the limited States		
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.		
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE) / The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will		
/ / The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition of contents as required. AND/OR		
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR / / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will		
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no container of community		
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION		
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at		
		715 Set Out III 10 0.5.0. § 51 12(g) and an or an or another
the hearing and finds as follows:		
/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.		
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a		
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.		
corrections facility separate	ded a reasonable apportunity f	For private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the		
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshal for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshal for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshal for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshall for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshall for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshall for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshall for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshall for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshall for the United States Marshall for the purpose of all appearance in common to the United States Marshall for the United States Marshall		
1 1		
Dated: 1 29 1	c/	
Dated: ' L)	D	HOWARD R. LLOYD
(United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ___, ATTY ____, PTS ___